PTC/SB/33 (01-09)
Approved for use through 02/28/2009. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid DMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		NELSR-P01	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	Application Number 10/661,302		Filed 09/11/2003
on FEB 2 4 2009	First Named Inventor NELSON, Robert		
Art Unit		Examiner	
Typed or printed Frank G. MORKUNAS	3688		LASTRA, D.
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the			
applicant/inventor.			Signature
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Fran	k G. MORKUN	•
attorney or agent of record. Registration number	858-	565-0799	
			phone number
stromey or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		FEB 2 4 2009	
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 38,974	Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventions or assignees of record of the entire inferest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below?			
*Total of forms are submitted.			

This obscides of information is required by \$6.1.8.6.1.12. This information is required to detain or retain is benefit by the patter, which is to life (and by the LIBETO to proceed) any agriculant Confederable by agreement by \$5.0.5.1.25 and \$6.1.75 to life (1.78 1.1.1). It lies all 6.1. This collection is entirelated by a speciment by \$6.0.5.1.25 and \$6.1.75 to life (1.78 1.1.1). It lies all 6.1. This collection is entirelated by a complete or properties of the terminal of the law and entirelated to the complete or properties of the terminal of time year required to complete in first market suggests for reducing the bursten, activate the set to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Potent and Trademark Officer, D.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 146.0, Alexandra, WA 2231-3-145. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO 1143.20.CRESS_SENDTO TO Missions for reducing some for Pathing, P.O. Box 146.0, Alexandra, VA 2231-3-145.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

NELSON, Robert W.

Appln. No.: 10/661,302 Examiner: LASTRA, D.

Conf. No.: 7815 Group Art Unit: 3688

Filed: 09/11/2003 Dkt. No.: NELSR-P01

For: ADVERTISING SYSTEM

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

5

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

In response to the final Office action dated November 25, 2008, which rejected Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over Magazine Advertising Guide [Guide] in view of Evans, US 2002-0036,654 ['654] and the Examiner's Advisory action of January 29, 2009, the following Pre-Appeal Brief is submitted for review. This Pre-Appeal Brief is submitted in that the Applicant believes the Examiner has committed a clear error in finding the Claims to be obvious and, in addition to Claim 1, particularly as such relates to Claims 7, 9, 12, and 15.

\pOlap-apl-PreaplBrf-rn AMDT: Page 1 of 4

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

A. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103:

The claims outstanding in this application are Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-19. The Examiner, in particular and in error, has rejected:

- Claim 1 stating that Guide teaches to charge for an advertising cost for an advertising size wherein said advertising cost incrementally decreases for each incremental increase in said advertising size [referencing Page 6 of Guide];
- 2. Claim 7 stating that Guide teaches to receive from said party a discount amount for said consumer to an asking price for a subject matter of said advertisement wherein a larger of said discount amount given by said party generates an increase in said advertising size for said party and a decrease in said advertising cost for said party [referencing also Page 6 of Guide];
- Claim 9 stating that Guide teaches wherein a decrease in cost for an advertising cost of between none to 100% [i.e., free] when discounts given range between none to 100%; and
 - 4. Claims 12 and 15 citing generally the same reasons as for Claims 1, 7, and 9 above.

15 B. Discussion:

5

10

20

25

- 1. Page 6 of the Guide, lines 9 through 29, are germane to the Examiner's rationale for rejection [See attachment A]. At line 6, it begins with a statement that the bigger the ad, the more expensive it is and that statement if prefaced with the word "obviously". It also provides for discounts to a same-sized ad only if the same ad is run more than one time and generally six or more times. In other words, "the longer the commitment, the more likely it is that, for the same sized ad, a discount to the cost of the ad, per ad, will be given.
- Nowhere in the Guide does it provide for, in any manner whatsoever, charging less as
 size increases without provision for a long-term commitment. The Guide suggests a lower
 advertising charge may be given provided a long-term commitment is executed [see page 6, lines
 17-22 of the Guide].
- 3. The Guide clearly states that it is <u>obvious</u> that the cost of an ad will increase with an increase in size. The Guide clearly and obviously teaches away from the non-obvious, the unheard-of concept of charging less for the ad while giving more in size without any strings attached.

Dkt. No: NELSR-P01 Appln. No.: 10/661,302 Reply to Advisory action of 01/29/2009

10

15

20

25

Moreover, if a longer-term contract is canceled by a party, the party "...will have to pay a "short rate" penalty." No one will "...let you run 6 insertions [ads] at a 12X rate...and perhaps [the party will pay] a little more for [the] trouble." [see page 6, lines 27-29].

- 4. In addition to Applicant's concept of charging less for an ad as the size of the ad increases, without any condition or strings attached, Applicant's Claims 7 and 9 [with counterpart claims 12 and 15] will charge even less for the ad when the party buying the ad is willing to give a discount to a consumer who may buy the party's product or service. The greater the discount to the party's product or service that the party is will to give a consumer, the greater the discount in ad cost given to the party placing the ad. This is nowhere to be found in the Guide. It is neither implied, suggested, or taught—it just does not exist in the Guide. At best it is an unsupported inference.
- 5. The Examiner in the Advisory action states all the above to be obvious in that a publisher [applicant in our case] may set any advertising rates to be charged to the party [merchant of products of services] the publisher desires having only three options in so doing: [1] higher cost to the party for larger ads; [2] lower costs to the party for larger ads; [3] and same costs for all parties for all sizes. The concept of party-discounts to consumers having a direct impact on lower the cost of the ad to the party is a limitation that does not fall within the above three enumerated parameters.
- 6. Advertising in this society, and others similarly situation, has been an on-going long-time business. Nowhere or ever, has a publisher offered to a party greater advertising sizes at lower costs as the advertising size increases with no strings or long-term commitments. Had such a concept been so obvious, in the course of these many years of advertising, it would have happened.
- 7. Nowhere is it taught, suggested, or had been done that a publisher offers even lower ad prices in instances where the party [merchant] offers discounts to a consumer [the buyer] in buying the parties products or services. The greater the discount offered by the party [merchant] to the consumer [buyer] directly affects the cost of the ad published by the publisher in that it lowers the costs.
- 8. Applicant's Claim 1 defines the unheard-of, the unanticipated, and the opposite and nonobvious advertising concept. The larger the advertisement space/size ordered by a merchant/advertiser in Applicant's system/method, the lower the total cost. For example, as set

Dkt. No: NELSR-P01 Appln. No.: 10/661,302 Reply to Advisory action of 01/29/2009

forth in applicant's prior submission [which is incorporated herein by reference] an ad encompassing one-fourth of a computer screen may yield a total cost to the merchant/advertiser of x-dollars, while an ad which encompasses one-half of the computer screen would yield a total cost to the merchant/advertiser of 75% of x-dollars, while yet another ad which encompasses the full computer screen would yield a total cost to the merchant/advertiser of 50% of x-dollars or less. All these total costs are not tied to long-term or other commitments as is in the prior art.

- 9. The additional feature of lowering the total cost of the ad, even lower than described above, is involved in instances where the merchant/advertiser is willing to give the consumer a discount for the purchase of the merchant/advertiser's product or services also is non-existent in the prior art. Consequently, in addition to a lower initial total cost, the merchant/advertiser will realize an even greater lower final total cost if the merchant/advertiser gives the consumer a discount on the merchant/advertiser's products/services. Such total cost will be lower with each increase in discounts the merchant/advertiser gives even to the point of providing the ad to the party at no cost.
- 10. Applicant contends that these features [greater observable size yields less in total cost for an advertisement regardless of duration AND if a discount is offered by the merchant/advertiser to the consumer, the total cost will be lowered even more. Moreover, the greater the discount being offered by the merchant/advertiser will lower the total cost for the advertisement.

C. CONCLUSION

5

10

15

In view of the foregoing, allowance of Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-19 pending in this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

7750 Dagget St., Ste 203

San Diego, CA 92111 Phone: 858-565-0799

Fax: 858-268-9968

/Frank G. Morkunas/

Frank G. Morkunas Reg. No. 38,974, C/N 23,653

Attachments: A - Magazine Advertising Guide, page 6

certific readership.

Buying the Ad

Your saless parson will quote your sales using a "rate card", which is nothing more than a prioring , chart. Some magazines ectually publish their rate card whine. By doing a Google search on the www.xda 'rota cind' I found a few examples.

PC Yeard

Business 2.0

Visual Studio Magazine

, yr

For example, the back cover ("cover 4") is other the most expensive as in the magazine. For put ever a hundred thousand dollars, you can buy the back cover of PC World magazine, or a time Obviously, the bigges the act, the more expensive it is. Note that appoint planements cost exits begroom frome in contral Illinois. The pricing on the sale card is scenetines negatively. You night as well by Every filterly worst residues the prices as the event flewly worst residues the prices as there to the event is some threat leads to sename the they might chee you some threat event only a way as past if you buy they spirit as it was they also within a world in the event of the e Supposed to get. -

conve when you control to place your aid to every take they publish for a but year (or larges). This is usually a 10% rate, but can be even more if magazines do special seases in between their regular. asione bries, you will post thit parce. If you sign a contract for six insentions, you can get a price areas on a per a price areas on a per a research on specifical contraction became. On the rate cand this, is called a "SX" rate. An even bigger discount 13. Ad prioring to sinutarised to establishing you to make tong commitments. If you only want to place as

1

SourcoGear is on a 14X counted, with Visual Studio Megastria right roat, That remember when promised to place is the figure and in every seaso the 2016, studently their 2 special insists. A contract this lates of series gains after the place gains and the series are series and the series and the series and the series are series are series and the series are series and the series are series a contract the first lime you place a magazine 3d. 3.3. - A combast can be cancelled but you's have in pay them a "chot rate" per ally. Basically, first now. is the position of insertions as a 12X rate. He you appr a 12X contracts and out strates, you'd have to the total contracts by the 6X rate, and perhaps a fifter incre for their boulde.

5 -- What Happens After Your Ad Runs

Lagazine Auvertising him.

80000000

-acmir data